

Comment on “Impurity states and the absence of quasiparticle localization in disordered d -wave superconductors”

In a recent Letter [1] Balatsky and Salkola made their conclusion about the absence of localization in disordered d -wave superconductors exploiting the idea that strongly overlapping impurity states formed a network capable to provide the transport. We present here the correct estimate of hopping matrix elements $\tilde{V}_{ij}$ between two impurities which were the basic quantity for the subsequent consideration in [1]. These elements were given by $\tilde{V}_{ij} \propto \hat{G}^0(\mathbf{r})$ with the electron Green function $\hat{G}^0(\mathbf{r}) = \int d^2k e^{i\mathbf{k}\mathbf{r}} \xi_k / (\xi_k^2 + |\Delta(\varphi)|^2)$, where $\xi_k = k^2/2m - \varepsilon_F$ and $\Delta(\varphi) = \Delta_0 \cos 2\varphi$ is the d -wave superconducting order parameter. Performing the ξ_k -integration we get

$$\tilde{V}_{ij} \propto \frac{k_F}{v_F} \text{Im} \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} d\varphi e^{ik_F r \cos \varphi} e^{-\frac{r}{v_F} \cos \varphi |\Delta(\varphi - \varphi_r)|}. \quad (1)$$

Assuming that $|\varphi| \lesssim 1$ and φ_r is measured from the node and small, one can expand the exponents in (1). After rescaling the variable $\varphi \rightarrow \phi = \varphi \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}k_F r}$ it yields

$$\tilde{V}_{ij} \propto \frac{1}{\sqrt{k_F r}} \text{Im} \left\{ e^{ik_F r} f \left(\sqrt{r/\xi_1}, \varphi_r \xi_0 k_F / 4 \right) \right\}, \quad (2)$$

with $f(a, x) = \int d\phi \exp(-i\phi^2 - a|\phi - ax|)$ and $\xi_0 = v_F/\Delta_0$. The distance enters Eq. (2) as the ratio r/ξ_1 with the “nodal” coherence length $\xi_1 = \frac{1}{8}k_F \xi_0^2$. This scale and its energy counterpart $\omega_0 = v_F/\xi_1$ arose previously in different problems related to the d -wave superconductivity [2]. The above integral can be reduced to the form

$$f(a, x) = e^{-ia^2 x^2 - i\frac{\pi}{4}} \left[F(ae^{-i\frac{\pi}{4}}(1/2 + ix)) + (x \rightarrow -x) \right],$$

where $F(Z) = e^{Z^2} \text{Erfc}(Z)$. At $|Z| = a\sqrt{x^2 + 1/4} \ll 1$ one has $f(a, x) = 2\sqrt{\pi}e^{-i\pi/4}$ which corresponds to the absence of superconducting screening near the nodes at small distances. If $|Z| \gg 1$, the value of $F(Z)$ depends on the sign of $\text{Re}Z$. One can represent $f(a, x)$ for this case in the form $f = f^{(1)} + f^{(2)}$, where

$$f^{(1)}(a, x) = \frac{e^{-ia^2 x^2}}{a(1 + 4x^2)}$$

$$f^{(2)}(a, x) = \sqrt{\pi}e^{-ia^2 - i\frac{\pi}{4} - a^2|x|} \theta(|x| - 1/2)$$

Balatsky and Salkola determined the angular range of unscreened impurity-impurity potential by matching the above expressions $f^{(1)}(a, x = 0)$ and $f^{(2)}(a, x)$. In fact, these are two additive contributions from generally different domains of integration in (2), $\phi \simeq ax$ and $\phi \simeq 0$, respectively. The condition $\phi \simeq ax$ is equivalent to the one $\varphi \simeq \varphi_r$, which justifies our usage of expansions for all $|\varphi_r| \lesssim 1$. We see that the exponential term $f^{(2)}$ may exceed $f^{(1)}$ only at $a \ll 1 \ll a|x|$ (i.e. at relatively small

distances and far away from node) while at $a \gtrsim 1$ the latter term clearly dominates. This is also confirmed by the numerical calculations.

Hence, apart from the screened contribution to $\tilde{V}_{ij}$ useless for the localization problem, at large distances $r \gtrsim \xi_1$ we have the unscreened power-law one *for all directions* of φ_r . This contribution can be written in the form

$$\tilde{V}_{ij}^{(1)} \propto \frac{\sin(k_F r |\cos \varphi_r|)}{k_F r |\cos \varphi_r|} \frac{\Delta_0/\varepsilon_F}{(\Delta_0/\varepsilon_F)^2 + \tan^2 \varphi_r} + (\varphi_r \rightarrow \varphi_r + \pi/2),$$

where we extrapolate the angular dependences and utilize the $\pi/2$ -periodicity of Eq. (1). Therefore, we conclude, that the picture of the impurity-impurity potential acting only within the slowly broadening tails claimed by Balatsky and Salkola as well as all the physical consequences of this picture appear to be incorrect; however, we still remain under the governing of Anderson’s theorem [3] about the absence of localization. The situation is changed, if we would take into account the pair-breaking role of impurities. For the estimates, one can incorporate this phenomenon adding the damping γ^2 -term into the denominator of function $\hat{G}^0(\mathbf{k})$. As a result, $\tilde{V}_{ij}^{(1)}$ also acquires the exponential anisotropic factor of the form $e^{-r/l(\varphi_r)}$. For instance, along the nodes $l(\varphi_r) \simeq l$, where $l = v_F/\gamma$ is the mean free path of the electron.

We thank M. Lavagna, P.A. Lee and S.V. Maleyev for useful discussions. A.Y. acknowledges the financial support from the Ministère de l’Education Nationale de l’Enseignement Supérieur et de la Recherche, France.

D.N. Aristov ^{1,2} and A.G. Yashenkin ^{3,1}

¹ Petersburg Nuclear Physics Institute, Gatchina, St. Petersburg 188350, Russia.

² Laboratoire Léon Brillouin, CE-Saclay, 91191 Gif-sur-Yvette Cedex, France.

³ Centre d’Etudes Nucléaires de Grenoble, DRFMC/SPSMS, 17 rue des Martyrs, 38054 Grenoble Cedex 9, France.

PACS numbers : 74.25.Jb , 71.27.+a

-
- [1] A.V. Balatsky and M.I. Salkola, Phys. Rev. Lett. **76**, 2386 (1996).
 - [2] M.L. Titov, A.G. Yashenkin, and D.N. Aristov, Phys. Rev. **B 52**, 10626 (1995); A.G. Yashenkin, D.N. Aristov, and S.V. Maleyev, Physica C **261**, 137, (1996); D.N. Aristov, S.V. Maleyev, A.G. Yashenkin, to appear in Z.Phys. **B 102**, (1997).
 - [3] P.W. Anderson, Phys. Rev. **109**, 1492 (1958).